### **CANADA'S OFFSET SYSTEM - STATUS**

### Tony Lemprière

**Canadian Forest Service / Natural Resources Canada** 

Natural Resources Canada and IEA Bioenergy Task 38 Workshop Victoria, British Columbia 15 September 2004



Natural Resources Canada

Canadian Forest Service Ressources naturelles Canada Service canadien des forêts



### OUTLINE

- Background
- Offset system policy development
- Key design issues
- Next steps

#### Note:

- Presentation provides overview of some of current thinking
- No final decisions on system design have been taken

## BACKGROUND

• Climate Change Plan for Canada (November 2002):

### Large Final Emitter (LFE) system

- Covers large industrial facilities:
  - oil and gas production
  - upgrading and refining
  - pipelines
  - power generation
  - mining

- steel
- aluminum
- chemicals/fertilizers
- pulp and paper
- cement and lime
- Target for LFE emission reductions of 55 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e / year below 2010 "business-as-usual"
- Access to emissions trading, <u>domestic offsets</u> and international permits to provide flexibility

### BACKGROUND

• Climate Change Plan for Canada (November 2002):

### Offset System

- "The Plan proposes to establish a framework that will enable agricultural and forestry sinks and emissions reductions to be sold as offsets into a domestic emissions trading system."
- "Offsets would have to be measurable and go beyond business-as-usual practices."

# **POLICY DEVELOPMENT**

#### Working Group on Offsets - federal government team

- Environment Canada, NRCan, Agriculture and Agri-Foods Canada, Foreign Affairs, PCO
- Weekly meetings / workshops; various issue-specific sub-groups

#### June 2003 - Offset System Discussion Paper

• Options for addressing key design elements

#### June 2003 - National Consultations - based on Discussion Paper

- Over 85 substantive written submissions
- Informal contacts continue with individual jurisdictions and stakeholders

#### **April 2004 - Transaction and Administration Cost Report**

• Assessed 3 design scenarios - to identify where cost savings might be found

#### May 2004 - Offset Current Status Deck

# **OFFSET PROJECT CYCLE**

- Proposed project cycle:
  - Project development
    - by project proponent

### Project initiation, documentation and review

- submitted by proponent for ex-ante validation
- approved by Offset Program Authority

#### Emission reduction / sequestration

- ex-post measurement and monitoring by proponent
- ex-post verification (third-party)
- certification by Offset Program Authority
- credits issued by Offset Program Authority

#### • Trading

• by market participants

Offset System Program Authority

# DESIGN ISSUES Scope of Offset System

- <u>Scope</u>: no final decision on inclusion of any sectors beyond agriculture and forests, as established in Canada's Climate Change Plan
  - Use "Broad as practical" philosophy
  - Working assumption is that Land Fill Gas projects included
  - Considering inclusion of small renewable energy projects, demand-side management projects, energy efficiency projects
  - Forest carbon projects
    - Afforestation / reforestation projects included
    - Avoided deforestation projects included
    - Forest management projects included if Canada includes FM in it national accounting
    - Projects to reduce or suppress fire / insects not included

# DESIGN ISSUES Surplus and Ownership

- **Surplus:** reductions/ removals awarded credits should be incremental to those resulting from other federal climate change measures
  - Implementation still an issue: direct versus indirect measures
- <u>Ownership</u>: project proponent is responsible for demonstrating that he/she is the party entitled to receive the offset credits
  - Forest carbon projects: where a proponent wants to undertake a project on forest land owned by a province / territory they must demonstrate they have provincial / territorial approval to seek credits

## **DESIGN ISSUES** Start Date, Crediting Period, Registration

- **Project Eligibility Date**: January 1, 2002
  - Eligible projects must generate their initial reductions / removals on or after this date
- <u>Crediting Period</u>: Eligible projects can earn credits beginning January 1, 2008
  - No end date specified
- **Registration:** Projects could be validated and registered as early as 2006 (assuming all the infrastructure is in place).
  - Projects reassessed for <u>re-registration</u> January 1, 2013, and every 5 years thereafter
  - Eligibility criteria at the time of re-registration will be used
  - Baselines considered only every second registration

# DESIGN ISSUES Baselines

- Credits could be awarded for the difference between baseline (e.g. "without project" emissions / removals, industry standard) and the "with project" measured emissions / removals
  - Exact approach will vary by sector to take into account different characteristics of the sectors

### • Forest carbon project baselines

- Project-by-project approach: variety of quantification approaches possible / justifiable depending on project characteristics
  - regional averages (practices, parameters)
  - control plots
  - pre-project measurements

## **DESIGN ISSUES** Forest C Project Baseline Quantification

- **Regional averages:** for example growth rates, soil carbon content, natural disturbance rates, activity levels
  - Must adequately represent the current and expected future project-level baseline conditions
  - May include historical data and trends
  - Justify the decision to use a regional average, as opposed to sitespecific data
    - Justify definition of chosen 'region'
    - Provide evidence showing that the data used to determine the regional average is applicable to the project
- Control plots
- Pre-project measurements

## **DESIGN ISSUES** Forest C Project Baseline Quantification

- Regional averages
- Control plots: Defined (or "fixed") areas outside of the project area but representative of the project site
  - Justify the decision to use control plots
    - Evidence that the control plots are representative of the project area, with respect to the particular data being collected
  - Provide assessment of risk to the control plots and how this risk will be managed
  - Provide details on location of control plots
  - Provide a monitoring plan for control plots
- Pre-project measurements

## **DESIGN ISSUES** Forest C Project Baseline Quantification

- Regional averages
- Control plots
- **Pre-project measurements:** Historical and current data collected from within the project boundary prior to the implementation of any project activities
  - Use data to model and estimate the baseline
  - Justify the applicability of this approach
    - Show that historical and current data provide a reasonable basis for determining what would happen in the future without the project

## DESIGN ISSUES Non-Permanence of Sinks

- Both temporary credits and permanent credits would be available to forest/agriculture carbon project proponents
  - LFEs could use both temporary credits and permanent credits for compliance with their targets
- Temporary credit
  - Represents storage of 1 tonne of CO<sub>2</sub>e for 1 year
  - LFE would be required to replace temporary credit one year after use
- Permanent credit
  - Represents permanent storage of 1 tonne of CO<sub>2</sub>e
  - Proponent has full liability for credit replacement if the sequestered carbon emitted back to the atmosphere; liability period not decided
  - Proponent could choose to share replacement liability with federal government in exchange for a discount on credits awarded

### DESIGN ISSUES Governance and International Links

- **Governance:** Options for institutional status of Offset System Program Authority still under review
  - Expected that verification activities would be performed by private sector accredited verifiers
  - Expected that operation of the offset registry will be contracted out to the private sector

### • Links with international trading:

 Permanent domestic offset credits would be exchangeable for international Kyoto units



#### End 2004 / early 2005 - Design proposal

- Design proposal reviewed by Deputy Ministers / Ministers
- Basis for further Provincial / Territorial and stakeholder review

#### 2005-2006 - Elaboration of detailed rules

- Legislation, regulations
- Guidance documents including reporting forms
- Quantification protocols

#### 2006 - System fully operational

• Project proposals can be submitted for review

#### 2008 and onward - credits can be sought

# FOR MORE INFORMATION...

### Offset Trading System: www.climatechange.gc.ca

- Offset System Discussion Paper
- Results of national consultations
- Transaction and Administration Cost Report
- Status Update

LFE system: <u>www.nrcan-rncan.gc.ca/lfeg-ggef</u>

Over 20 discussion papers

Tony Lemprière NRCan - Canadian Forest Service tlemprie@nrcan.gc.ca